

1 Method and System for Sharpness Enhancement for Coded Video

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Cross-Reference to Related Applications

This invention uses the UME of co-pending application, Apparatus and Method for Providing a Usefulness Metric based on Coding Information for Video Enhancement, inventors Lilla Boroczky and Johan Janssen, filed concurrently herewith. The present invention is entitled to the benefit of Provisional Patent Application Serial Number 60/260,845 filed January 10, 2001.

2. Field of The Invention

The present invention is directed to a system and method for enhancing the sharpness of encoded/transcoded digital video, without enhancing encoding artifacts, which has particular utility in connection with spatial domain sharpness enhancement algorithms used in multimedia devices.

3. Description of the Related Art

The development of high-quality multi-media devices, such as set-top boxes, high-end TV's, Digital TV's,

Personal TV's, storage products, PDA's, wireless internet devices, etc., is leading to a variety of architectures and to more openness towards new features for these devices. Moreover, the development of these new products and their ability to display video data in any format, has resulted in new requirements and opportunities with respect to video processing and video enhancement algorithms. Most of these devices receive and/or store video in the MPEG-2 format and in the future they may receive/store in the MPEG-4 format. The picture quality of these MPEG sources can vary between very good and extremely bad.

Next generation storage devices, such as the blue-laser-based Digital Video Recorder (DVR) will have to some extent HD (ATSC) capability and are an example of the type of device for which a new method of picture enhancement would be advantageous. An HD program is typically broadcast at 20 Mb/s and encoded according to the MPEG-2 video standard. Taking into account the approximately 25 GB storage capacity of the DVR, this represents about a two-hour recording time of HD video per disc. To increase the record time, several long-play modes can be defined, such as Long-Play (LP) and Extended-Long-Play (ELP) modes.

For LP-mode the average storage bitrate is assumed to be approximately 10 Mb/s, which allows double record time

for HD. As a consequence, transcoding is an integral part of the video processing chain, which reduces the broadcast bitrate of 20 Mb/s to the storage bitrate of 10 Mb/s.

During the MPEG-2 transcoding, the picture quality (e.g., sharpness) of the video, is most likely reduced. However, especially for the LP mode, the picture quality should not be compromised too much. Therefore, for the LP mode, post-processing plays an important role in improving the perceived picture quality.

To date, most of the state-of-the-art sharpness enhancement algorithms were developed and optimized for analog video transmission standards like NTSC, PAL and SECAM. Traditionally, image enhancement algorithms either reduce certain unwanted aspects in a picture (e.g., noise reduction) or improve certain desired characteristics of an image (e.g., sharpness enhancement). For these emerging storage devices, the traditional sharpness enhancement algorithms may perform sub-optimally on MPEG encoded or transcoded video due to the different characteristics of these sources. In the closed video processing chain of the storage system, information which allows for determining the quality of the encoded source can be derived from the MPEG stream. This information can potentially be used to increase the performance of image enhancement algorithms.

FOI b7E b7C b7D

Because image quality will remain a distinguishing factor for high-end video products, new approaches for performing image enhancement, specifically adapted for use with these sources, will be beneficial. In C-J Tsai, P. Karunaratne, N. P. Galatsanos and A. K. Katsaggelos, "A Compressed Video Enhancement Algorithm", *Proc. of IEEE, ICIP'99*, Kobe, Japan, Oct. 25-28, 1999, the authors propose an iterative algorithm for enhancing video sequences that are encoded at low bit rates. For MPEG sources, the degradation of the picture quality originates mostly from the quantization function. Thus, the iterative gradient-projection algorithm employed by the authors uses coding information such as quantization step size, macroblock types and forward motion vectors in its cost function. The algorithm shows promising results for low bit rate video, however its main disadvantage is its high computational complexity.

In B. Martins and S. Forchhammer, "Improved Decoding of MPEG-2 Coded Video", *Proc. of IBC'2000*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Sept. 7-12, 2000, pp. 109-115, the authors describe a new concept for improving the decoding of MPEG-2 coded video. Specifically, a unified approach for deinterlacing and format conversion, integrated in the decoding process, is proposed. The technique results in

considerably higher picture quality than that obtained by ordinary decoding. However, to date, its computational complexity prevents its implementation in consumer applications.

Both papers describe video enhancement algorithms using MPEG coding information and a cost function. However, both of these scenarios, in addition to being impractical, combine the enhancement and the cost function. A cost function determines how much, and at which locations in a picture, enhancement can be applied. The problem which results from this combination of cost and enhancement functions is that only one algorithm can be used with the cost function.

OBJECT AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention addresses the foregoing needs by providing a system, (i.e., a method, an apparatus, and computer-executable process steps), in which a usefulness metric, calculates how much a pixel can be enhanced without increasing coding artifacts.

It is an object of this invention to provide a system in which the usefulness metric is separate from the enhancement algorithm such that a variety of different

enhancement algorithms can be used in conjunction with the metric.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a usefulness metric which can be tuned towards the constraints of the system such that an optimal trade-off between performance and complexity is assured.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a system of image enhancement which will perform optimally with encoded and transcoded video sources.

This brief summary has been provided so that the nature of the invention may be understood quickly. A more complete understanding of the invention can be obtained by reference to the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments thereof in connection with the attached drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a better understanding of the invention, reference is made to the following drawings:

----- Figure 1 is a block diagram of the invention

----- Figure 2 is a flowchart of the invention using only the coding gain

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Figure 1 shows a system in which the present invention can be implemented, for example, in a video receiver 56. Figure 1 illustrates how a usefulness metric (UME) can be applied to, a sharpness enhancement algorithm, adaptive peaking, for example. (Other sharpness enhancement algorithms, besides adaptive peaking, can also be used.) The adaptive peaking algorithm, directed at increasing the amplitude to the transient of a luminance signal 2, does not always provide optimal video quality for an a priori encoded/transcoded video source. This is mainly a result of the fact that the characteristics of the MPEG source are not taken into account. In the present invention, a UME is generated, which does take into account the characteristics of the MPEG source. The example algorithm, adaptive peaking, is extended to use this UME, thereby increasing the performance of the algorithm significantly.

The adaptive peaking algorithm and the principle of adaptive peaking, are well known in the prior art. An example is shown in Fig. 1. The algorithm includes four control blocks, 6 8 10 12. These pixel-based control blocks 6 8 10 12 operate in parallel and each calculate a maximum allowable gain factor g_1 g_2 g_3 g_4 , respectively, to achieve

a target image quality. These control blocks 6 8 10 12 take into account particular local characteristics of the video signal such as contrast, dynamic range, and noise level, but not coding properties. The coding gain block 14 uses the usefulness metric (UME) 18 to determine the allowable amount of peaking g_{coding} 36. A dynamic gain control 16 selects the minimum of the gains g_1 28, g_2 30, g_3 32, g_4 34, which is added to the g_{coding} generating a final gain g 38. The multiplier 22, multiplies the final gain 38 by the high-pass signal 20, which has been filtered by the 2D peaking filter 4. The adder 24 adds this product to the original luminance value of a pixel 2. In this manner, the enhanced luminance signal 26 is generated.

The UME 18 calculates on a pixel by pixel basis, how much a pixel or region can be enhanced without increasing coding artifacts. The UME 18 is derived from the MPEG coding information present in the bitstream.

Choosing the MPEG information to be used with the UME 18 is far from trivial. The information must provide an indication of the spatio-temporal characteristics or picture quality of the video.

The finest granularity of MPEG information, which can be directly obtained during decoding is either block-based or macroblock-based. However for spatial (pixel) domain

video enhancement, the UME 18 must be calculated for each pixel of a picture in order to ensure the highest picture quality.

One parameter easily extracted from MPEG information is the quantization parameter, as it is present for every coded macroblock (MB). The higher the quantization parameter, the coarser the quantization, and therefore, the higher the quantization error. A high quantization error results in coding artifacts and consequently, enhancement of pixels in a MB with a high quantization parameter must be suppressed more.

Another parameter that can easily be extracted from the MPEG stream is the number of bits spent in coding a MB or block. The value of the aforementioned coding information is dependent upon other factors including: scene content, bitrate, picture type, and motion estimation/compensation.

Both the quantization parameter and the number of bits spent are widely used in rate control calculations of MPEG encoding and are commonly used to calculate the coding complexity. Coding complexity is defined as the product of the quantization parameter and the number of bits spent to encode a MB or block. Coding complexity is therefore described by the following equation:

$$\text{compl}_{\text{MB/block}}(k,l) = \text{mquant}(k,l) * \text{bits}_{\text{MB/block}}(k,l)$$

where mquant is the quantization parameter and $\text{bits}_{\text{MB/block}}$ is the number of bits of DCT coefficients used to encode the MB or $\text{block}(k,l)$. The underlying assumption is that the higher the complexity of a MB or block with respect to the average complexity of a frame, the higher the probability of having coding artifacts in that MB or block. Thus, enhancement should be suppressed for the pixels of the blocks with relatively high coding complexity.

Accordingly, the UME 18 of $\text{pixel}(i,j)$ can be defined by the following equation:

$$\text{UME}(i,j) = 1 - \frac{\text{compl}_{\text{pixel}}(i,j)}{2 * \text{compl}}$$

where $\text{compl}_{\text{pixel}}(i,j)$ is the coding complexity of $\text{pixel}(i,j)$ and compl is the average coding complexity of a picture. In the present invention, $\text{compl}_{\text{pixel}}(i,j)$ is estimated from the MB or block complexity map Figure 2 48 by means of bilinear interpolation Figure 2 58.

In one aspect of the invention, $\text{UME}(i,j)$ can range from 0 to 1. In this aspect, zero means that no sharpness enhancement is allowed for a particular pixel, while 1

means that the pixel can be freely enhanced without the risk of enhancing any coding artifacts.

The UME equation can be extended, by the addition of a term directly related to the quantization parameter, to incorporate a stronger bitrate dependency. This can be especially advantageous for video that has been encoded at a low bitrate.

For skipped or uncoded MBs/blocks, the UME is estimated Figure 2 50 from surrounding values.

Because the UME 18 is calculated to account for coding characteristics, it only prevents the enhancement of coding artifacts such as blocking and ringing. Thus, the prevention or reduction of artifacts of non-coding origin, which might result from applying too much enhancement, is addressed by other parts of the sharpness enhancement algorithm.

The aforementioned UME 18 can be combined with any peaking algorithm, or it can be adapted to any spatial domain sharpness enhancement algorithm. It is also possible to utilize coding information Figure 2 46 and incorporate scene content related information Figure 2 44, in combination with an adaptive peaking algorithm.

In this embodiment, shown in Figure 2, the four control blocks 6 8 10 12 shown in Figure 1 are eliminated.

Scene content information, such as edge information 44, is incorporated into the coding gain calculation via the edge detection 42. The scene-content related information 44 compensates for the uncertainty of the UME calculation Fig. 1 18, the uncertainty resulting from assumptions made and interpolations applied in its calculation, Fig. 2 58 36.

In this embodiment, the coding gain of a pixel (i,j) 36 is determined by summing the UME which is embedded in the coding gain calculation 36 with an Edge Map 44 related term according to the equation below:

$$g_{\text{coding}}(i,j) = \text{UME}(i,j) + g_{\text{edge}}(i,j)$$

UME is defined above and g_{edge} is based on edge-related pixel information.

It should be noted that the complexity map 56 of the MB/block has an inherited block structure. To decrease this non-desirable characteristic of the complexity map 56, a spatial low-pass filtering 52 is applied by a filter. An example filter kernel, which can be used for low-pass filtering is:

$$LP_{compl_map} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Another problem is that abrupt frame to frame changes in the coding gain for any given pixel can result in temporally inconsistent sharpness enhancement, which is undesirable. Such changes can also intensify temporally visible and annoying artifacts such as mosquito noise.

To remedy this effect, temporal filtering 54 is applied to the coding gain using the gain of the previous frame. To reduce the high computational complexity and memory requirement, instead of filtering the gain-map, the MB or block-based complexity map 48 is filtered temporally using an IIR filter 54. The following equation represents this processing:

$$compl_{MB/block}(r,s,t) = k * compl_{MB/block}(r,s,t) + \\ scal * (1-k) * compl_{MB/block}(r,s,t-1)$$

where r,s is the spatial coordinate of a MB or block, t represents the current picture, k is the IIR filter coefficient and scal is a scaling term taking into account

the complexity differences among different picture types.
The coding gain 36 is then applied to the adaptive peaking algorithm using the frame t 60 to produce an enhanced frame t 60.

The invention can also be applied to HD and SD sequences such as would be present in a video storage application having HD capabilities and allowing long-play mode. The majority of such video sequences are transcoded to a lower storage bitrate from broadcast MPEG-2 bitstreams. For the long play mode of this application, format change can also take place during transcoding. Well-known SD video sequences encoded, decoded, and then processed with the sharpness enhancement algorithm, according to the present invention, provide superior video quality for a priori encoded or transcoded video sequences as compared to algorithms that do not use coding information.

The present invention has been described with respect to particular illustrative embodiments. It is to be understood that the invention is not limited to the above-described embodiments and modifications thereto, and that various changes and modifications may be made by those of ordinary skill in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the appended claims.